| 1 2 3 4 5 6 | | JS-6 | |
|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--|
| 7 | ************************************** | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 9 | | CASE NUMBER: | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | Plaintiff | | |
| 12 13 | V. | | |
| 14 | | ORDER REMANDING CASE TO STATE COURT | |
| 15 | Defendant(s). | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | The Court sua sponte REMANDS this action to the California Superior Court for the | | |
| 18 | County of for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, as set forth below. | | |
| 19 | "The right of removal is entirely a creature of statute and 'a suit commenced in a state | | |
| 20 | court must remain there until cause is shown for its transfer under some act of Congress." | | |
| 21 | Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc. v. Henson, 537 U.S. 28, 32 (2002) (quoting Great Northern R. Co. | | |
| 22 | v. Alexander, 246 U.S. 276, 280 (1918)). Where Congress has acted to create a right of removal, | | |
| 23 | those statutes are strictly construed against removal jurisdiction. <u>Id.</u> ; <u>Nevada v. Bank of America</u> | | |
| 24 | <u>Corp.</u> , 672 F.3d 661, 667 (9th Cir. 2012); <u>Gaus v. 2</u> | Miles, Inc., 980 F.2d 564, 566 (9th Cir. 1992). | |
| 25 | Unless otherwise expressly provided by Congress, a defendant may remove "any civil | | |
| 26 | action brought in a State court of which the district courts of the United States have original | | |
| 27 | jurisdiction." 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); <u>Dennis v. Hart</u> , 724 F.3d 1249, 1252 (9th Cir. 2013). The | | |
| 28 | removing defendant bears the burden of establishing federal jurisdiction. Abrego Abrego v. | | |
| | | | |

| 1 | ☐ Diversity jurisdiction is lacking: | | | |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 2 3 | Every defendant is not alleged to be diverse from every plaintiff. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). | | | |
| 4 5 | The Complaint does not allege damages in excess of \$75,000, and removing defendant(s) has not plausibly alleged that the amount in controversy requirement has been met. <u>Id.</u> ; see <u>Dart Cherokee Basin Operating Co., LLC v. Owens</u> , No. 13-719, 2014 WL 7010692, at *6 (U.S. Dec. 15, 2014). | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 8 | I ne underlying unlawful detainer action is a limited civil action that does not | | | |
| 9 | IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this matter be, and hereby is, REMANDED to the Superior | | | |
| 10 | Court of California listed above, for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. | | | |
| 11 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | | | |
| 12 | Date: Rhristing a. Smyde | | | |
| 13 | United States District Judge | | | |
| 14 | Office office page | | | |
| 15 | | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 18 | | | | |
| 19 | | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | , i | | | |